

... for a brighter future



UChicago ► Argonne_{uc}



A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

RIMS Analysis of Solar Wind Cr and Ca in Genesis Samples

Igor V. Veryovkin C. Emil Tripa, Alexander V. Zinovev, Michael J. Pellin Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory

Genesis mission: a REAL analytical adventure





Unexpected but foreseen:

collectors are broken and contaminated due to crash landing of the sample return capsule



Periodic Table of RIMS

Photons from conventional tunable lasers: ≥200 nm ~ ≤6.2 eV

		_					-			_								-
	1 H 13.6				Ato	mic	no		yes									2 <mark>He</mark> 24.59
	3 Li 5.39	4 <mark>Be</mark> 9.32			num	iber	1 H Elemen 13.6 t					5 <mark>B</mark> 8.30	6 C 11.26	7 <mark>N</mark> 14.53	8 <mark>0</mark> 13.82	9 F 17.42	10 <mark>Ne</mark> 21.57	
	11 <mark>Na</mark> 5.14	12 Mg 7.65	n Potential (eV)								13 <mark>Al</mark> 5.99	14 <mark>Si</mark> 8.15	15 P 10.49	16 <mark>5</mark> 10.36	17 <mark>Cl</mark> 12.97	18 <mark>Ar</mark> 15.76		
	19 <mark>K</mark> 4.34	20 Ca 6.11	21 <mark>Sc</mark> 6.56	22 Ti 6.83	23 V 6.75	24 <mark>Cr</mark> 6.77	25 <mark>Mn</mark> 7.43	26 <mark>Fe</mark> 7.90	27 <mark>Co</mark> 7.88	28 <mark>N</mark> i 7.64	29 <mark>Cu</mark> 7.73	30 <mark>Zn</mark> 9.39	31 <mark>Ga</mark> 6.0	32 <mark>Ge</mark> 7.90	33 <mark>As</mark> 9.79	34 <mark>Se</mark> 9.75	35 <mark>Br</mark> 11.81	36 <mark>Kr</mark> 14.0
	37 <mark>Rb</mark> 4.18	38 <mark>Sr</mark> 5.70	39 ¥ 6.22	40 Zr 6.63	41 <mark>Nb</mark> 6.76	42 <mark>Mo</mark> 7.09	43 <mark>Tc</mark> 7.28	44 <mark>Ru</mark> 7.36	45 <mark>Rh</mark> 7.46	46 <mark>Pd</mark> 8.34	47 <mark>Ag</mark> 7.58	48 <mark>Cd</mark> 8.99	49 In 5.79	50 <mark>Sn</mark> 7.34	51 <mark>Sb</mark> 8.61	52 <mark>Te</mark> 9.01	53 I 10.45	54 <mark>Xe</mark> 12.12
	55 <mark>Cs</mark> 3.89	56 <mark>Ba</mark> 5.21	57 <mark>La †</mark> 5.58	72 Hf 6.83	73 <mark>Ta</mark> 7.55	74 W 7.86	75 <mark>Re</mark> 7.83	76 <mark>Os</mark> 8.44	77 Ir 8.97	78 Pt 8.96	79 <mark>Au</mark> 9.23	80 Hg 10.44	81 Tl 6.11	82 Pb 7.42	83 <mark>B</mark> i 7.29	84 Po 8.42	85 <mark>At</mark> 9.65	86 <mark>Rn</mark> 10.76
	87 Fr 4.07	88 <mark>Ra</mark> 5.28	89 <mark>Ac ‡</mark> 5.17	104 Rf 6.0?	105 Db	106 <mark>Sg</mark>	107 Bh	108 Hs	109 M†									<u>.</u>
Lanthanide Series †			58 <u>Ce</u> 5.54	59 Pr 5.47	60 Nd 5.53	61 Pm 5.58	62 <mark>Sm</mark> 5.64	63 <mark>Eu</mark> 5.67	64 <mark>Gd</mark> 6.15	65 Tb 5.86	66 <mark>Dy</mark> 5.94	67 Ho 6.02	68 Er 6.11	69 Tm 6.18	70 <mark>Уb</mark> 6.25	71 Lu 5.43		
Actinide Series ‡		90 Th 6.31	91 Pa 5.89	92 U 6.19	93 Np 6.27	94 Pu 6.03	95 Am 5.97	96 Cm 5.99	97 <mark>Bk</mark> 6.20	98 Cf 6.28	99 Es 6.42	100 Fm 6.50	101 Md 6.58	102 No 6.65	103 Lr 4.9?			

Laser Post-Ionization Secondary Neutral Mass Spectrometry (LPI SNMS): a variant of RIMS



New SARISA: ultrahigh efficiency MS instrument

Surface Analysis by Resonance Ionization of Sputtered Atoms:

 Designed during 1999-2001 to perform RIMS analyses of Genesis samples
 Thoroughly optimized for LPI SNMS
 Constructed in 2004 (prototype - in 2002)
 Fully operational since 2005

The most sensitive in the world ion sputtering based MS instrument Useful Yield >20% @ Mass Resolution >1500





Genesis sample in SARISA





Experimental protocol: the NEW one (from 2008)

All measurements in One Day



Analysis spots on standards and Genesis always have the same coordinates (locations)

Sources of uncertainties identified by 2008



- Surface charging observed for glass samples used as secondary standards.
- Drift in wavelengths of tunable lasers resulted in long term signal variations for both standards and Genesis samples
- Unstable primary ion current resulted in
 - increased uncertainties in the shape of the sputter depth profile (during the sputtering step)
 - extra fluctuation in RIMS signals
- Background levels (suppressed SIMS) not measured with sufficient precision, accuracy and number of data points

RIMS Signal drifts now



Secondary bulk standard is now Al alloy Charging problems are eliminated Grains are still an issue for some elements

RIMS of two elements with two tunable lasers



RIMS schemes for Ca and Cr used these experiments: two tunable (422.79 nm and 360.536 nm) and one fixed wavelength (355 nm) lasers

Comparison of two pieces of the same stuff



Comparison of two pieces of the same stuff

"Old" L52 Implant Standard



"Old" L52 implant

Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted





Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted



"New" over "old" L52 implant

Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted



primary ion fluence (ions/cm2)

Ca and Cr Fluencies: "old" L52 pretending to be Genesis

- as presented at Science Team Meeting

⁴⁰ Ca	⁴⁴ Ca	⁵² Cr	⁵³ Cr
(6.39±0.08) x10 ¹²	(2.73±0.01) x10 ¹³	(2.69±0.05) x10 ¹³	(2.10±0.24) x10 ¹²
Based on \rightarrow	3x10 ¹³	3x10 ¹³	←Based on

Ca and Cr Fluencies: "old" L52 pretending to be Genesis - recalculated using corrected integration algorithm (as presented at LPSC-XXXX)

⁴⁰ Ca	⁴⁴ Ca	⁵² Cr	⁵³ Cr
(6.84±0.08) x10 ¹²	(2.71±0.01) x10 ¹³	(2.73±0.03) x10 ¹³	(2.06±0.11) x10 ¹²
Based on→	3x10 ¹³	3x10 ¹³	←Based on

RIMS Mass spectra (December 19, 2008)

Mass Spectra during depth profiling



Implant Standard



Genesis #60179

mass (a.m.u.)

Depth profiles (December 19, 2008)

Implant Standard



Genesis #60179 measured December 19, 2008

0.1 0 0 0.01 0 0 O Ο RIMS Ca40 1×10^{-3} □ □ RIMS Ca44 • • RIMS Cr52 O O RIMS Cr53 $\triangle \triangle$ RIMS Si dimer A RIMS Si **RIMS-SIMS Ca40** 1×10 **RIMS-SIMS** Ca44 **RIMS-SIMS Cr52 RIMS-SIMS Cr53 RIMS-SIMS Si dimer RIMS-SIMS Si** 1×10 1×10¹⁸ 1×10¹⁹ 1×10²⁰ 1×10²¹ 1×10¹⁷ 1×10^{22} primary ion fluence (ions/cm2)

Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted

normalized signal (MS peak area, counts / laser shot)

RIMS Mass spectra (February 19, 2009)



Depth profiles (February 19, 2009)

Implant Standard



Depth profiles (March 4, 2009)

Implant Standard



Genesis #60476 measured February 19, 2008



Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted

primary ion fluence (ions/cm2)

Genesis #60476 measured March 4, 2009



Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted

Genesis #60179 measured December 19, 2009



Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted

primary ion fluence (ions/cm2)

#60179 (12/19/08) vs #60476 (03/04/09)



Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted

primary ion fluence (ions/cm2)

Simplified Data processing to determine SW fluence

Correcting raw depth profiles for RIMS signal drift

- Based on three groups of MS measurements on secondary standard
- Subtracting (suppressed) SIMS background
 - Based on quasi-simultaneous measurements during depth profiling
- Integrating depth profiles of Genesis and Implant Standard
 - Choosing integration limits such that surface contamination is not counted
 - Determining SW elemental fluencies from comparison between Genesis and Implant Standard

Depth Profile Integration



Discrepancies between elemental abundances in solar wind determined by the simple integration (on the left) and by more accurate integration (on the right) **are minor - <5%**

Summary of results

Genesis sample	Experiment Date	Ca ⁴⁰	Ca ⁴⁴	Cr ⁵²	Cr ⁵³
#60179	12/19/08	(1.26±0.09) ×10 ¹¹	(3.42±0.98) ×10 ¹⁰	(5.20±1.50) ×10 ¹¹	(2.08±1.58) ×10 ¹¹
	12/22/08	(8.68±1.21) × 10 ¹⁰	(1.85±0.97) ×10 ¹⁰	(5.24±1.60) ×10 ¹¹	(2.88±1.57) ×10 ¹¹
	02/25/09	(1.34±0.06) ×10 ¹¹	(4.76±2.96) ×10 ⁹	(1.66±0.33) ×10 ¹¹	(2.98±2.06) ×10 ¹⁰
#60476	02/18/09	3.7×10 ¹³	(9.78±0.16) ×10 ¹¹	(2.03±0.34) ×10 ¹¹	(3.54±2.41) ×10 ¹⁰
	02/19/09	4.71×10 ¹³	(1.17±0.01) ×10 ¹²	(1.95±0.45) ×10 ¹¹	(3.05±3.00) ×10 ¹⁰
	03/04/09	(2.41±0.02) ×10 ¹²	(6.8±0.44)× ×10 ¹⁰	(1.27±0.25) ×10 ¹¹	(3.07±1.99) ×10 ¹⁰
	03/05/09	2.65×10 ¹³	(7.73±0.07) ×10 ¹¹	(1.03±0.20) ×10 ¹¹	(2.52±1.69) ×10 ¹⁰

Summary of results -

recalculated using corrected integration algorithm (as presented at LPSC-XXXX)

Genesis	Experiment	Ca ⁴⁰	Ca ⁴⁴	Cr ⁵²	Cr ⁵³		
sample	Date	Expected: 1.3	3x10 ¹¹ at/cm ²	Expected: 2.95x10 ¹⁰ at/cm ²			
#60179	12/19/08	(1.30±0.04)× 10 ¹¹	(6.26±1.41)× 10 ⁹	(3.56±0.25)× 10 ¹¹	(5.06±1.29)× 10 ¹⁰		
	12/22/08	(7.30±0.67)× 10 ¹⁰	(1.36±0.53)× 10 ¹⁰	(2.30±0.45)× 10 ¹¹	(6.57±2.58)× 10 ¹⁰		
	02/25/09	(2.13±0.07)× 10 ¹¹	(5.15±1.66)× 10 ⁹	(3.21±0.15)× 10 ¹¹	(3.71±0.49)× 10 ¹⁰		
#60476	02/18/09	4.1×10 ¹³	(1.15±0.01)× 10 ¹²	(3.57±0.49)× 10 ¹⁰	(8.62±8.18)× 10 ⁸		
	02/19/09	6.89×10 ¹³	(2.15±0.02)× 10 ¹²	(1.09±0.14)× 10 ¹¹	(1.42±0.58)× 10 ¹⁰		
	03/04/09	1.36×10 ¹²	(3.01±0.16)× 10 ¹⁰	(4.54±0.70)× 10 ¹⁰	(5.67±3.32)× 10 ⁹		
	03/05/09	1.95×10 ¹³	(4.98±0.05)× 10 ¹¹	(1.37±0.21)× 10 ¹⁰	(5.15±5.0)×1 0 ⁸		



How should we integrate depth profiles of SW implants: Genesis #60179 (March 17, 2009)

Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted



Genesis #60179 (March 17, 2009) with secondary electron signal

Depth profiles: Drift corrected Raw data with SIMS Background subtracted



Conclusions

- Simultaneous RIMS of a few elements is the way to go
 Chromium:
 - Probably the last element to measure with ion sputtering
 - Laser desorption will do the rest of periodic table
 - Fluencies are consistently higher than expected
 - Average 1.58x10¹¹ at/cm² (2.95x10¹⁰ at/cm² expected for 852.83 days)
 - L52 Implant fluencies must be double checked
 - Possibly with an added over the top implant

Calcium:

- No more measurements to do on #60476
- Fluencies from #60179 are reasonable
 - Average 1.16x10¹¹ at/cm² (1.33x10¹¹ at/cm² expected for 852.83 days)
- New measurements for Ca on a newly cleaned sample only
 - Possibly characterized with TXRF prior to RIMS

Magnesium:

- More measurements on #60476 simultaneously with Cr
- For newly cleaned samples, three-element measurements are feasible in the nearest future (RIMS with sputtering)
- Enabling low energy sputtering column is high priority
- Back-side depth profiling with RIMS is still on our "to do" list

Conclusions - (as presented at LPSC-XXXX)

Our RIMS results start to look good

Optimized experimental procedures and data processing protocols help to obtain reproducible and reasonable data

Depth profile integration approach makes sense and does not contradict to Mother Nature

Isotopic ratios calculated from Genesis depth profiles are reasonable

New measurements on cleaner samples are needed

Simultaneous RIMS analysis for a few elements is the way to go

 $\blacksquare Cr$, Ca and Mg can now be measured alltogether

Determination of isotopic ratios is feasible

Reliability of RIMS lasers is going to be now the major limiting factor

Near future: TXRF helping RIMS ?

SSRL Wafer 180 deg rotation 14,000 sec



Courtesy of Prof. M. Schmeling (Loyola University Chicago)

Depth Profile Integration



Discrepancies between elemental abundances in solar wind determined by the simple integration (on the left) and by more accurate integration (on the right) **are minor - <5%**

Acknowledgements

This work is supported

- by NASA under Work Orders W-19,895 and W-10,091
- by the U. S. Department of Energy, BES-Materials Sciences, under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357

List of elements proposed for RIMS

Element	Atomic Number	Atomic Weight	First Ionization Potential (eV)	Solar System Abundance (relative to Si=10 ⁶)	Estimated Solar Wind flux (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Bulk Collector Fluence (cm ⁻²) 852.83 days	IS Collector Fluence (cm ⁻²) 333.67 days	CH Collector Fluence (cm ⁻²) 313.01 days	CME Collector Fluence (cm ⁻²) 193.25 days	Estimated Bulk Collector Concentration (ppm)	Estimated IS Collector Concentration (ppm)	Estimated CH Collector Concentration (ppm)	Estimated CME Collector Concentration (ppm)
Mg	12	24	7.646	1.10E+06	3.20E+04	2.36E+12	9.22E+11	8.65E+11	5.35E+11	4.716	1.84E+00	1.73E+00	1.07E+00
AI	13	27	5.695	8.50E+04	2.50E+03	1.84E+11	7.20E+10	6.76E+10	4.18E+10	0.368	1.44E-01	1.35E-01	8.35E-02
Ca	20	40	6.113	6.10E+04	1.80E+03	1.33E+11	5.18E+10	4.87E+10	3.01E+10	0.265	1.04E-01	9.73E-02	6.02E-02
Cr	24	52	6.765	1.40E+04	4.00E+02	2.95E+10	1.15E+10	1.08E+10	6.69E+09	0.059	2.31E-02	2.17E-02	1.34E-02
Ті	22	48	6.828	2.40E+03	7.20E+01	5.31E+09	2.07E+09	1.95E+09	1.20E+09	0.011	4.30E-03	4.04E-03	2.50E-03
Co	27	59	7.881	2.20E+03	6.70E+01	4.94E+09	1.93E+09	1.81E+09	1.12E+09	0.00987	3.86E-03	3.62E-03	2.24E-03
Se	34	79	9.752	6.20E+01	1.90E+00	1.40E+08	5.47E+07	5.14E+07	3.18E+07	2.80E-04	1.09E-04	1.03E-04	6.36E-05
Li	3	7	5.392	5.70E+01	1.70E+00	1.25E+08	4.90E+07	4.60E+07	2.84E+07	2.51E-04	9.79E-05	9.19E-05	5.69E-05
Sr	38	87.6	5.695	2.30E+01	7.00E-01	5.16E+07	2.02E+07	1.89E+07	1.17E+07	1.03E-04	4.04E-05	3.79E-05	2.34E-05
Be	4	9	9.32	7.30E-01	2.20E-02	4.72E+07	1.84E+07	1.73E+07	1.07E+07	9.43E-05	3.69E-05	3.46E-05	2.14E-05
Zr	40	91	6.033	1.10E+01	3.40E-01	2.51E+07	9.79E+06	9.19E+06	5.69E+06	5.01E-05	1.96E-05	1.84E-05	1.14E-05
Rb	37	85.5	4.177	7.10E+00	2.10E-01	1.55E+07	6.05E+06	5.68E+06	3.51E+06	3.10E-05	1.21E-05	1.14E-05	7.03E-06
Y	39	89	6.217	4.60E+00	1.40E-01	1.03E+07	4.04E+06	3.79E+06	2.34E+06	2.06E-05	8.07E-06	7.57E-06	4.68E-06
В	5	11	8.29	2.10E+01	6.40E-01	1.62E+06	6.34E+05	5.95E+05	3.68E+05	3.24E-06	1.27E-06	1.19E-06	7.36E-07

Old Data processing to determine SW fluence

Ist step: Subtracting SIMS background.

Non-RIMS background signals are acquired by switching the post-ionization lasers off a few times during the acquisition of the depth profile. These numbers are directly subtracted from the total detected signals.

2nd step: Correcting for RIMS drifts.

Duration of sputter depth profile experiment is ~ 2.5 hrs. Therefore we had to account for possible drifts in the RIMS signal level. These drifts originate from minor changes in the RIMS lasers wavelengths and powers, and from thermal drifts in timing and voltages of the pulsing electronics of SARISA instrument.



3rd step: Correction for surface contamination using linear prediction algorithm.

Near-surface region of the depth profile is predicted based on the data points measured for deeper regions of the sample

4th step: Data normalization.

Standards and Genesis samples are compared using the signals from the center of the secondary standard as a reference

5th step: Depth Profile Integration.